arXiv:1611.09554v1 [math.DG] 29 Nov 2016

THURSTON'S H-PRINCIPLE AND FLEXIBILITY OF POISSON STRUCTURES

SAUVIK MUKHERJEE

Abstract. We prove an analogue of Thurston's h-principle for 2-dimensional foliations on manifolds of dimension bigger or equal to 4, in the presence of a fiber-wise non-degenerate 2-form. This helps us understand the flexibility of rank 2 regular Poisson structures on open manifolds with dimension bigger or equal to 4 and it also helps us understand the flexibility of Poisson structures (not regular) on closed 4-manifolds.

1. introduction

An h-principle for Poisson structures on open manifolds has been proved by Fernandes and Frejlich in [6]. We state their result below.

Let M^{2n+q} be a C^∞-manifold equipped with a co-dimension-q foliation $\mathcal{F}_0$ and a 2-form ω_0 such that $(\omega_0^n)_{|T\mathcal{F}_0} \neq 0$. Denote by $Fol_q(M)$ and $Dist_q(M)$ the spaces of co-dimension-q foliations and distributions on M respectively identified as a subspace (the entire space in case of distributions) of $\Gamma(Gr_{2n}(M))$, where $Gr_{2n}(M) \xrightarrow{pr} M$ be the grassmann bundle, i.e, $pr^{-1}(x) = Gr_{2n}(T_xM)$ and $\Gamma(Gr_{2n}(M))$ is the space of sections of $Gr_{2n}(M) \xrightarrow{pr} M$ with compact open topology. Define

$$\Delta_q(M),\ \bar{\Delta}_q(M) \subset Dist_q(M) \times \Omega^2(M)$$

$$\Delta_q(M) := \{(\mathcal{F}, \omega) : \omega^n_{|T\mathcal{F}}\} \neq 0$$

$$\bar{\Delta}_q(M) := \{(\mathcal{D}, \omega) : \omega^n_{|\mathcal{D}}\} \neq 0$$

Obviously $(\mathcal{F}_0, \omega_0) \in \Delta_q(M)$. Inthis setting Fernandes and Frejlich has proved the following

Theorem 1.1. *([6]) Let M^{2n+q} be an open manifold with $(\mathcal{F}_0, \omega_0) \in \Delta_q(M)$ be given. Then there exists a homotopy $(\mathcal{F}_t, \omega_t) \in \Delta_q(M)$ such that ω_1 is $d_{\mathcal{F}_1}$-closed (actually exact).*

Key words and phrases. Poisson Structures,Almost Symplectic Foliations,h-principle.

In the language of poisson geometry the above result 1.1 takes the following form. Let $\pi \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 TM)$ be a bi-vectorfield on M, define $\#\pi : T^*M \to TM$ as $\#\pi(\eta) = \pi(\eta, -)$. If $Im(\#\pi)$ is a regular distribution then π is called a regular bi-vectorfield.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M^{2n+q} be an open manifold with a regular bi-vectorfield π_0 on it such that $Im(\#\pi_0)$ is an integrable distribution then π_0 can be homotoped through such bi-vectorfields to a poisson bi-vectorfield π_1.*

Remark 1.3. *Fernandes and Frejlich has also shown by example in* [6] *that if the condition that $Im(\#\pi_0)$ being integrable is removed then the result fails. This is because in general a distribution need not have a foliation in its homotopy class. On open manifolds the obstruction is known by Haefliger in* [7].

In 1.1 above $d_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the tangential exterior derivative, i.e, for $\eta \in \Gamma(\wedge^k T^*\mathcal{F})$, $d_{\mathcal{F}}\eta$ is defined by the following formula

$$d_{\mathcal{F}}\eta(X_0, X_1, ..., X_k) = \Sigma_i (-1)^i X_i(\eta(X_0, .., \hat{X}_i, .., X_k))$$

$$+\Sigma_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j}\eta([X_i, X_j], X_0, .., \hat{X}_i, .., \hat{X}_j, .., X_k)$$

where $X_i \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{F})$. So if we extend a $\mathcal{F}$-leafwise closed k-form η, i.e, $d_{\mathcal{F}}\eta = 0$, to a form η' by the requirement that $ker(\eta') = \nu\mathcal{F}$, where $\nu\mathcal{F}$ is the normal bundle to $\mathcal{F}$, then $d\eta' = 0$.

In order to fix the foliation in 1.1 one needs to impose an openness condition on the foliation, we refer the readers to [1] for precise definition of this openness condition. Under this hypothesis Bertelson proved the following

Theorem 1.4. *(*[1]*) If $(M, \mathcal{F})$ be an open foliated manifold with $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies some openness condition and let ω_0 be a $\mathcal{F}$-leaf wise 2-form then ω_0 con be homotoped through $\mathcal{F}$-leaf wise 2-forms to a $\mathcal{F}$-leaf wise symplectic form.*

She also constructed counter examples in [2] that without this openness condition the above theorem fails. A contact analogue of Bertelson's result on any manifold (open or closed) has recently been proved in [3] by Borman, Eliashberg and Murphy.

1.1 and 1.2 has been generalized to closed manifolds in [10].

Theorem 1.5. *Let M^{2n+q} be a closed manifold with $q = 2$ and $(\mathcal{F}_0, \omega_0) \in \Delta_q(M)$ be given. Then there exists a homotopy $\mathcal{F}_t$ of singular foliations on M with singular locus Σ_t and a*

homotopy of two forms ω_t such that the restriction of (ω_t) to $T\mathcal{F}_t$ is non-degenerate and ω_1 is $d_{\mathcal{F}_1}$-closed.

In terms of poisson geometry 1.5 states

Theorem 1.6. *Let M^{2n+q} be a closed manifold with $q = 2$ and π_0 be a regular bi-vectorfield of rank $2n$ on it such $Im(\#\pi_0)$ is integrable distribution. Then there exists a homotopy of bi-vectorfields $\pi_t,\ t \in I$ (not regular) such that $Im(\#\pi_t)$ integrable and π_1 is a poisson bi-vectorfield.*

Now we come to the main topic of this paper. In [12] Thurston has proved the following

Theorem 1.7. *Any C^∞ 2-plane field on a manifold M of dimension at least 4 is homotopic to an integrable one. Relative version of this result is also true.*

In this paper we prove an analogue of this result in the presence of a fiber-wise non-degenerate 2-form. The main theorem of this paper is the following. For a 2-distribution $\mathcal{D}$ and for a section τ of $\mathcal{D}$, we set $\omega_{|\mathcal{D}} = \omega_{|\tau}$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let M^n be a manifold of dimension atleast 4, i.e, $n \geq 4$ and $(\tau_0, \omega_0) \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(M)$. Then (τ_0, ω_0) is homotopic to (τ_1, ω_1) through a homotopy $(\tau_t, \omega_t) \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(M)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ such that τ_1 is integrable.*

Using 1.8 in 1.1 and 1.5 (or equivalently in 1.2 and 1.6) we get the following.

Corollary 1.9. *If there exists a regular rank 2 bivector field π_0 on an open manifold M of dimension bigger or equal to 4 then there exists a homotopy $\pi_t,\ t \in [0, 1]$ of regular bivector fields of rank 2 such that π_1 is regular Poisson.*

If on the other hand M is closed 4-manifold and π_0 a regular rank 2 bivector field on it then there would exists a homotopy of bivector fields $\pi_t,\ t \in [0, 1]$ (not regular) such that π_1 is Poisson (not regular).

2. Plan of the proof of 1.8

We closely follow [9] in order to prove 1.8. We state the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $(\tau_0, \omega_0) \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then (τ_0, ω_0) is homotopic through $(\tau_t, \omega_t) \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ t \in [0, 1]$ such that τ_1 is integrable in a neighborhood of $[-1, 1]^n$ and (τ_t, ω_t) is constant in a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^n - (-2, 2)^n$.*

Proof. **(Proof of 1.8)** Let $\{h_i : U_i \to \mathbb{R}^n\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a countable atlas with the property that $\{h_i^{-1}((-1,1)^n)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ covers M. Define

$$(\tau_0', \omega_0') = ((h_1)_*(\tau_0)_{|U}, (h_1)_*\omega_0)$$

Using 2.1 for (τ_0', ω_0') we get $(\tau_t', \omega_t') \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that τ_1' is integrable in a neighborhood of $[-1,1]^n$ and (τ_t', ω_t') is constant on a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}^n - (-2,2)^n$.

$h_1^*\tau_1'$ can be extended by τ to a plane field τ_1 and do the same for $h_1^*\omega_1'$ and let us call it ω_1.

Continue by replacing $\tau_0,\ \omega_0$ *and* h_1 by τ_1, ω_1 *and* h_2. If $x \in \cup_{i=1}^N h_i^{-1}((-1,1)^n)$, then there is a neighborhood V of x such that all homotopies after N steps are constant and if V is small enough, all homotopies from step 1 to N are constant on V and hence the sequence $(\tau_0, \omega_0), (\tau_1, \omega_1), ...$ converges. □

So the proof of 2.1 is the main task. It shall be done in the following three steps namely

(1) Triangulate $\mathbb{R}^n$ so that τ_0 is in general position on a neighborhood of $[-1,1]^n$.

(2) Deform (τ_0, ω_0) into one which is civilized in near $[-1,1]^n$ with respect to the triangulation in the first step.

(3) Filling the holes.

These steps will be covered in the following sections bellow.

3. Triangulation in general position

This part is exactly same as [9], we just outline the main definitions and results for completion.

Definition 3.1. *For a k-plane field τ on $\mathbb{R}^n$, an n-simplex σ is in general position with respect to τ if for all $x \in \sigma$ the orthogonal projection along $\tau(x)$ from the tangent plane of every $(n-k)$-face to $\tau(x)^\perp$ is injective. A triangulation is said to be in general position with respect to τ in a neighborhood of a closed set if every n-simplex of this triangulation intersecting the closed set is in general position.*

Definition 3.2. *An affine triangulation T' is said to be an ε-jiggling of a given affine triangulation T for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ if there is a simplicial isomorphism $T \xrightarrow{\phi} T'$ such that*

$$|\phi(v) - v| < \varepsilon \text{ for every vertex } v \in T$$

Proposition 3.3. *([12]) For a given C^1 k-plane field τ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $L \in \mathbb{N}$ such that whenever $l \geq L$ there exists an ε-jiggling of the triangulation associated to the cubical lattice $(\frac{1}{l}\mathbb{Z})^n$ which is in general position with respective to τ near K.*

4. Civilization

Let T be an ε-jiggling of the standard triangulation associated to the lattice $(\frac{1}{l}\mathbb{Z})^n$ which is in general position with respect to τ in a neighborhood of $[-2,2]^n$. Take l large enough so that the following holds.

(A) If x is a vertex of T with $\bar{st}(x,T) \cap [-1,1]^n$ being non-empty then $\bar{S}t(x,T) \subset [-\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2}]^n$.

(B) If σ-is a n-simplex of T which intersects $[-2,2]^n$ then for any $x, y \in \sigma$ the plane field $\tau(y)$ is the graph of a linear map $L_{xy} : \tau(x) \to \tau(x)^{\perp}$ of norm less that one.

Let T_1 be the union of all simplices which are faces of n-simplices of T intersecting $[-1,1]^n$.

We shall deform (τ, ω) into one whose plane field is integrable in a neighborhood of the $(n-1)$-skeleton of T_1. The deformation will be done through $(\tau_t, \omega_t) \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that T is in general position with respect to τ_t near $[-2,2]^n$ and which satisfies **(B)**.

We first give the definition of civilized pair (τ, ω).

Definition 4.1. *Let $0 \leq j \leq n-2$. We say (τ_j, ω_j) civilized on the j-skeleton of T_1 if T_1 is in general position with respect to τ_j near $[-2,2]^n$ and there are real numbers $\delta_0 > ... > \delta_j > 0$ and $\eta_0 > ... > \eta_j > 0$ satisfying*

(C) *Let σ be an i-simplex of T_1 with $0 \leq i \leq j$. For $x \in \sigma$ consider the planes $x + \tau_j(x)$ and $x + E_x$, where $E_x = (\tau_j(x) + T\sigma)^{\perp}$. Let $B_x(\delta)$ and $E_x(\eta)$ be the closed δ and η neighborhoods of x in the planes $x + \tau_j(x)$ and $x + E_x$ respectively. From 3.1 it follows that the disk $B_x(\delta) \times E_x(\eta)$ has dimension $(n-i)$. Then the disk $B_x(\delta_i) \times E_x(\eta_i)$ is the fiber of a tubular neighborhood $N(\sigma)$ of σ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ moreover any $(n-2)$-simplex*

of T_1 which has σ as a face intersects the boundary of the disk $B_x(\delta_i) \times E_x(\eta_i)$ in a subset of $int(B_x(\delta_i)) \times \partial(E_x(\eta_i))$.

(D) *On the fibers $B_x(\delta_i) \times E_x(\eta_i)$, $(\tau_j, \omega_j) = (\tau_j(x), \omega_j(x))$.*

(E) *For two simplices σ, σ' of T_1 of dimension less or equal to j, we must have $N(\sigma) \cap N(\sigma') \subset N(\sigma \cap \sigma')$. If σ' is a proper face of σ and $N(\sigma) \cap N(\sigma')$ non-empty so that $(y_1, y_2) \in B_x(\delta_i) \times E_x(\eta_i)$ lies in $B_v(\delta_{i'}) \times \{y_2'\}$ with $v \in \sigma'$ and $y_2' \in E_v(\eta_{i'})$ with $i' = dim\sigma'$, then we must have $B_x(\delta_i) \times \{y_2\} \subset int(B_v(\delta_{i'})) \times \{y_2'\}$. We must also have $N(\sigma) \cap \sigma''$ be empty, where σ'' is of dimension at least $j+1$ for which σ is not a face.*

For the case $j = n-1$ the conditions **(C)**, **(D)** *and* **(E)** *will have to be replaced by* **(C')**, **(D')** *and* **(E')** *respectively.*

(C') *For a $(n-1)$-simplex σ of T_1 let $F_x(\delta)$ be the closed δ-neighborhood of x in the line $x + F_x$, where F_x is the the orthogonal complement of $\tau_{n-1}(x) \cap T\sigma$ in $\tau_{n-1}(x)$. Then $F_x(\delta_{n-1})$ is the fiber of a tubular neighborhood $N(\sigma)$ of σ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.*

(D') *If $x \in \sigma$, where σ is a $(n-1)$-simplex of T_1. Then on $F_x(\delta_{n-1})$ the pair $(\tau_{n-1}, \omega_{n-1})$ is equal to $(\tau_{n-1}(x), \omega_{n-1}(x))$.*

(E') **(E)** *holds and if σ, σ' be $(n-1)$-simplices then we must have $N(\sigma) \cap N(\sigma') \subset N(\sigma \cap \sigma')$. If σ'' is a proper face of σ so that $F_x(\delta_{n-1})$ intersects $N(\sigma')$, say $y \in F_x(\delta_{n-1})$ also belongs to $B_v(\delta_{i'}) \times \{y_2'\}$ with $v \in \sigma'$ and $y' \in E_v(\eta_{i'})$, $i' = dim\sigma'$, then $F_x(\delta_{n-1}) \subset int(B_v(\delta_{i'})) \times \{y'\}$. Moreover $N(\sigma) \cap \sigma'''$ is empty for any n-simplex σ''' for which σ is not a face.*

Let us set that for any 2-plane field for which T is in general position near $[-2, 2]^n$ to be civilized on the -1-skeleton of T_1 and $\delta_{-1}, \eta_{-1} = \infty$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $-1 \leq p \leq n-2$ and let $(\tau_{p-1}, \omega_{p-1}) \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is civilized on the $(p-1)$-skeleton of T_1 and let $\delta_0 > ... > \delta_{p-1} > 0$ and $\eta_0 > ... > \eta_{p-1} > 0$ be the associated real numbers. Then there exists*

$$(\tau_p, \omega_p) \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ 0 < \delta_p < \delta_{p-1} \text{ and } 0 < \eta_p < \eta_{p-1}$$

such that properties **(C)** *to* **(E)** *holds for $j = p$ (for $j = p = n-1$* **(C)** *to* **(E)** *has to be replaced by* **(C')** *to* **(E')***) and $\delta_i,\ \eta_i,\ i = 0, ..., p$. Moreover (τ_p, ω_p) is homotopic to $(\tau_{p-1}, \omega_{p-1})$ in $\bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ through a homotopy for which T remains in general position near $[-2, 2]^n$ and which satisfies* **(B)** *through out the homotopy.*

Proof. We shall only deal with the case $0 \leq j \leq n-2$. The $j = n-1$ case is similar.

Consider all p-simplices σ of T_1. Let σ' be a proper face of σ. Then $(\tau_{p-1}, \omega_{p-1})$ is constant on $B_y(\delta_i) \times E_y(\eta_i)$, $i = dim\sigma'$ and σ intersects $B_y(\delta_i) \times E_y(\eta_i)$ in $int(B_y(\delta_i)) \times E_y(\eta_i)$ by **(C)**. So if $x \in \sigma \cap B_y(\delta_i) \times E_y(\eta_i)$ then $\tau_{p-1}(x) = \tau_{p-1}(y)$ and $E_x \leq E_y$ where $E_x = (T\sigma + \tau_{p-1}(x))^{\perp}$. So we can find δ_p and η_p so that **(C)** and **(E)** holds and **(D)** holds for those

$$x \in \sigma \cap N(\sigma')$$

where σ' a proper face of σ. The last condition of **(C)** holds if $\frac{\eta_p}{\delta_p}$ is small.

So we need to deform $(\tau_{p-1}, \omega_{p-1})$ so that **(D)** holds for all $x \in \sigma$ and the deformation will be supported in the complement of $N(\sigma')$.

By **(B)** and **(E)**, for all $x \in \sigma$ and $z \in B_x(\delta_p) \times E_x(\eta_p)$ the plane $\tau_{p-1}(z)$ is the graph of a linear map $L_{zx} : \tau_{p-1}(x) \to \tau_{p-1}(x)^{\perp}$.

Choose $\bar{\delta}_p > \delta_p$ *and* $\bar{\eta}_p > \eta_p$ such that $B_x(\bar{\delta}_p) \times E_x(\bar{\eta}_p)$ are still fibers of a tubular neighborhood $\bar{N}(\delta)$.

Now on $B_x(\delta_p) \times E_x(\eta_p)$ we set

$$(\tau_p, \omega_p) = (\tau_{p-1}(x), \omega_{p-1}(x))$$

Let the discs $B_x(\delta_p) \times E_x(\eta_p)$ and $B_x(\bar{\delta}_p) \times E_x(\bar{\eta}_p)$ have radii $r, \bar{r}$ respectively. Define a continuous map $f : [r, \bar{r}] \to [0, \bar{r}]$ such that $f(r) = 0$ and $f(\bar{r}) = \bar{r}$. Now for $y \in B_x(\bar{\delta}_p) \times E_x(\bar{\eta}_p) \cap B_x(\delta_p) \times E_x(\eta_p)$ set $(\tau_p(y), \omega_p(y)) = (\tau_{p-1}(f(|y|)y), \omega_{p-1}(f(|y|)y))$. □

5. Filling The Hole

Now we can assume that (τ_0, ω_0) satisfies the desired properties of 2.1 on $N(\partial\sigma)$, where σ is an n-simplex of T_1. A subset of σ diffeomorphic to $B^2 \times B^{n-2}$ containing the complement of $N(\partial\sigma)$ is called a hole.

Proposition 5.1. *For each n-simplex σ of T_1 there exists an embedding $\phi : D^2 \times D^{n-2} \to int(\sigma)$ such that $(\tau', \omega') = (\phi^*\tau, \phi^*\omega) \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(D^n)$ satisfying*

(1) *Near $D^2 \times \partial D^{n-2}$, τ' is the kernel of the projection to D^{n-2} factor.*

(2) *τ' is $\pitchfork$ to $\partial D^2 \times D^{n-2}$ and in a neighborhood of $\partial D^2 \times D^{n-2}$ is the pull back of the line field $\tau' \cap T(\partial D^2 \times D^{n-2})$ by the projection $(D^2 - \{0\}) \times D^{n-2} \to \partial D^2 \times D^{n-2}$. Furthermore the line field $\tau' \cap T(\partial D^2 \times D^{n-2})$ is $\pitchfork$ to $\{x\} \times D^{n-2}$ for all $x \in D^2$.*

Proof. The proof is same as (4.1)-(4.3) in [9]. Only one needs to observe that the non-degeneracy condition is preserved by pull back under diffeomorphisms. □

5.1. **Another Pair.** For a k-manifold M a foliated M-product over the circle S^1 is a co-dimension k foliation on $S^1 \times M$ which is $\pitchfork$ to the second factor. A foliated M-product over S^1 is said to have compact support if there exists a compact set $C \subset M$ such that on $S^1 \times (M - C)$ the foliation is given by the projection onto $M - C$.

Let ξ be a foliated M-product with compact support and let $\alpha \in \Omega^1(S^1 \times M)$. Consider

$$(\xi, \alpha) \in Fol_k(S^1 \times M) \times \Omega^1(S^1 \times M)$$

satisfying $\alpha_{|T\xi} \neq 0$. By 5.1 τ' defines a foliated $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$-product on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. Here we identify $int(D)^{n-2}$-product over S^1 with $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$-product over S^1.

Define the vector field $X := \frac{\tau'_{|S^1 \times D^{n-2}}}{T\xi'}$, where ξ' is the foliated $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ structure as identified with τ' by 5.1. Then define $\alpha' = \omega'_{|S^1 \times D^{n-2}}(X, -)$. Observe that the pair (ξ', α') satisfies the non-degeneracy condition $\alpha'_{|T\xi'} \neq 0$. From now on whenever we write the pair (ξ, α) we mean that it satisfies this non-degeneracy condition.

Thus we need to find a pair $(\tau'', \omega'') \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(D^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2})$ with $\tau'' = T\mathcal{F}''$ integrable satisfying

(*) Outside some compact set $D^2 \times C$, τ'' is given by the projection onto $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$.

(**) $\tau'' \pitchfork rS^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ for r close to 1 and induces there the given pair (ξ', α').

(***) (τ'', ω'') is homotopic in $\bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2})$ to an one for which the 2-plane field is $\pitchfork$ to the $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$-factor by a homotopy constant near $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$.

Now as in [9] we identify ξ' with a periodic path $\gamma_{\xi'} : \mathbb{R} \to Diff_c\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$.

Definition 5.2. *We call a pair (ξ, α) or equivalently (γ_ξ, α) fillable if there there exists a pair $(\tau = T\mathcal{F}, \omega)$ inducing (ξ, α) on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ and which satisfies (*) and (**).*

Definition 5.3. *A path $\gamma : [0,1] \to Diff_c\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ starting at identity id is called horizontal on an interval $J \subset [0,1]$ if it is constant on J. A path which is horizontal near the starting and end is called adjusted.*

Concatenation: Let $(\gamma_i, \alpha_i),\ i = 1, 2$ be two adjusted pairs. Define the immersion

$$\{(exp(2\pi it), \gamma_1(2t, y)) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2},\ t \in [0, 1/2]\} \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \{(exp(2\pi it), \gamma_1(t, y)) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}, t \in [0, 1]\}$$

by $\phi_1(exp(2\pi it), \gamma_1(2t, y)) = (exp(2\pi i.2t), \gamma_1(2t, y))$. Set $\bar{\alpha}_1 = \phi^*\alpha_1$.

Similarly define

$$\{(exp(2\pi it), \gamma_2(2t-1, \gamma_1(1, y))) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2},\ t \in [1/2, 1]\}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\phi_2} \{(exp(2\pi it), \gamma_2(t, \gamma_1(1, y))) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}, t \in [0, 1]\}$$

by $\phi_2(exp(2\pi it), \gamma_2(2t-1, \gamma_1(1, y))) = (exp(2\pi i(2t-1)), \gamma_2(2t-1, \gamma_1(1, y)))$. Set $\bar{\alpha}_2 = \phi^*\alpha_2$.

The new pairs still satisfies the non-degeneracy condition on the respective domains. Now define the concatenation $(\gamma_1, \alpha_1) * (\gamma_2, \alpha_2) = (\gamma, \alpha)$ as follows. The concatenation of the paths namely γ is given by $\gamma(t) = \gamma_1(2t),\ t \in [0, 1/2]$ and $\gamma(t) = \gamma_2(2t-1) \circ \gamma_1(1),\ t \in [1/2, 1]$. From the fact that γ_i's being adjusted it follows that $\bar{\alpha}_i$'s match nicely and we get α.

Lemma 5.4. *The concatenation of two fillable adjusted pair (γ_1, α_1) and (γ_2, α_2) is fillable.*

Proof. Let us consider (γ_1, α_1). Define

$$\{(rexp(2\pi it), \gamma_1(2t, y)) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2},\ t \in [0, 1/2],\ r \in [0, 1]\}$$

$$\xrightarrow{\Phi_1} \{(rexp(2\pi it), \gamma_1(t, y)) : y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}, t \in [0, 1],\ r \in [0, 1]\}$$

by $\Phi_1(rexp(2\pi it), \gamma_1(2t, y)) = (rexp(2\pi i.2t), \gamma_1(2t, y))$. Set $(\bar{\mathcal{F}}_1, \bar{\omega}_1) = (\Phi^*\mathcal{F}_1, \Phi^*\omega_1)$, where $(T\mathcal{F}_1, \omega_1) \in \bar{\Delta}_{n-2}(D^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2})$ be the pair given by the fillability of (γ_1, α_1). Again the new pair satisfies the non-degeneracy condition.

Do the similar arrangement in view of the concatenation arrangement. Now the rest of the proof is same as **Lemma 4.10** in [9] and we skip it.

□

Remark 5.5. *Observe that in the concatenation process $\gamma(1) = \gamma_2(1) \circ \gamma_1(1)$ and we shall treat the product $\gamma_2(1) \circ \gamma_1(1)$ and concatenation in place of each other without mention.*

5.2. **An Example.** Consider the foliation on the torus $S^1 \times S^1$ by lines of constant slope a, i.e, the foliation is given by the kernel of the one form $d\theta - ad\phi$ where (θ, ϕ) are coordinates on $\S^1 \times S^1$. Let D^2 have the polar coordinate (r, ϕ) and let $\lambda_0, \lambda_{1/2}\lambda_1$ be cutoff functions on $[0, 1]$ such that $\lambda_i = 1$ on a neighborhood of $i \in [0, 1]$ and $supp(\lambda_0) \cap supp(\lambda_1)$ is empty. Then the kernel of the one form

$$\lambda_1(r)(d\theta - ad\phi) + \lambda_{1/2}(r)dr + \lambda_0(r)d\theta$$

on $D^2 \times S^1$ with coordinates (r, ϕ, θ) defines a co-dimension-1 foliation $\mathcal{F}$ on the solid torus $D^2 \times S^1$ whose restriction on the boundary torus $S^1 \times S^1$ is the given foliation, i.e, $Ker(\theta - ad\phi)$. On the inner solid torus $D^2_{1/2} \times S^1$ the foliation is given by the taut foliation.

On the torus consider the one form $\alpha = ad\theta + d\phi$. Observe that

$$Ker(d\theta - ad\phi) = \langle (a\partial_\theta + \partial_\phi) \rangle$$

So $\alpha_{|Ker(d\theta - ad\phi)} \neq 0$.

Now consider the two form ω whose restriction on $\{(r, \phi) : 0 \leq r \leq 1/2\} \times S^1$ is given by $(\lambda_0(r)rdr + \lambda_{1/2}(r)d\theta) \wedge d\phi$ and whose restriction on $\{(r, \phi) : 1/2 \leq r \leq 1\} \times S^1$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}(2\lambda_1(r)dr + \lambda_{1/2}(r)d\theta) \wedge d\phi + \frac{1}{2}d\theta \wedge (\lambda_{1/2}(r)d\phi - 2a\lambda_1(r)dr)$$

Observe that on the boundary torus $S^1 \times S^1$, $\omega(\partial_r, -) = \alpha$ and $\omega_{|T\mathcal{F}} \neq 0$.

A tubular neighborhood of $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ is given by $S^1 \times D^{n-3}$, $[n \geq 4]$. Consider a periodic curve $[0, 1] \to Diff_c\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ which is constant outside $S^1 \times D^{n-3}$ and whose restriction

to $S^1 \times D^{n-3}$ induces a foliated $S^1 \times D^{n-3}$-product structure ξ over S^1, i.e, a co-dimension-$(n-2)$ foliation on $S^1 \times S^1 \times D^{n-3}$ whose restriction on $S^1 \times S^1 \times \{x\},\ x \in D^{n-3}$ is given by $Ker(d\theta - f(x)d\phi)$, where $f : D^{n-3} \to [0,1]$ is a non-vanishing map which is zero near ∂D^{n-3}.

Observe that if we set $f(x) = a$ we get the foliation mentioned above. Let $\omega(x)$ be the two form achieved by replacing a by $f(x)$ in ω. Now one just need to interpolate by introducing the function $g : D^{n-3} \to [0,1]$ such that $g \equiv 0$ in a neighborhood of ∂D^{n-3} and $g \equiv 1$ on $supp(f)$. The resulting one form whose kernel defines the foliation is given by

$$(1 - g(x))d\theta + g(x)(\lambda_1(r)(d\theta - f(x)d\phi) + \lambda_{1/2}(r)dr + \lambda_0(r)d\theta)$$

and the two form is given by

$$(1 - g(x))rdr \wedge d\theta + g(x)\omega(x)$$

5.3. **The group $Diff_c\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$.** In this subsection we recall some results regarding the group $Diff_c\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$. Let us set $k - n - 2$. Let $C^\infty_K(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k)$ be the vector space of smooth maps from $\mathbb{R}^k$ to itself with support a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ and equipped with C^∞-topology. The inductive limit of $C^\infty_K(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k)$ on K will be denoted by $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k)$. Now $Diff_c\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ is a manifold modeled on $C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k)$. An atlas is given by different translates by elements $g \in Diff_c\mathbb{R}^k$ of small enough neighborhoods U_g depending on g such that U_g is so small that $g + U_g \subset Diff_c\mathbb{R}^k$. For any map $f : J \to C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k) \subset Diff_c\mathbb{R}^k$ is contained in some $C^\infty_K(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k)$ for some compact set K. For more detailed information we refer to [9].

Proposition 5.6. *([8]) For $k \geq 2$ and $B \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ be open and bounded. Then there exists compactly supported smooth vector fields $X_1, ..., X_6$ on $\mathbb{R}^k$, a C^∞-open neighborhood $\mathcal{W}$ of the identity in $Diff^\infty_c(B)$, and a smooth mappings $\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_6 : \mathcal{W} \to Diff^\infty_c\mathbb{R}^k$ such that for all $g \in \mathcal{W}$,*

$$g = [\sigma_1(g), expX_1] \circ ... \circ [\sigma_6(g), expX_6]$$

where the commutator $[a,b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$. Moreover the vector fields are close to zero.

Proposition 5.7. *([11]) Let U be an open subset of a manifold M and let h be a diffeomorphism of M such that $U \cap f(U)$ is empty also assume that $a, b \in Diff^\infty_c(M)$ are supported in U, then the commutator is the product of four conjugates of h and h^{-1}, more precisely*

$$[a,b] = h(ch^{-1}c^{-1})(bchc^{-1}b^{-1})(bh^{-1}b^{-1})$$

where $c = h^{-1}ah$.

Corollary 5.8. *([9]) Let M^k be a smooth connected manifold and let $h \in Diff_c^\infty(M^k)$ any element other than identity. Let $a_i, b_i, i = 1, ..., r$ be elements of $Diff_c^\infty(M^k)$ such that for each i the diffeomorphisms $a_i,\ b_i$ have support in $int(U_i)$ where U_i is a closed k-ball in M^k. Then*

$$f := \Pi_{i=1}^r [a_i, b_i] \text{ is a product of } 4r \text{ conjugates of } h \ h^{-1}$$

5.4. **Concluding The Proof of 2.1.** We consider a pair (γ, α) and show that it is fillable. The proof is similar to [9].

(a) Define $V_\varepsilon := \{id + e : e \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathbb{R}^k) \text{ with } max_x|de_x| < \varepsilon\}$. V_1 is an open contractible neighborhood of id in $Diff_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n-2})$. Then as in [9] there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that any composition of 72 elements of V_ε is in V_1.

(b) Recall from 5.2 that the periodic curve of the pair that has been filled is of the form $h_f(t, z) = z,\ z \notin S^1 \times D^{n-3}$ and $h_f(t, z) = (\theta + t.f(x), x),\ z = (\theta, x) \in S^1 \times D^{n-3}$. Here $f : D^{n-3} \to [0, 1]$ is a smooth map vanishing near the boundary. Observe that $h_f(t)^{-1} = h_{-f}(t)$. So for f small enough $h_f(t)^{-1}, h_{-f}(t) \in V_\varepsilon$. Set $h = h_f(1)$.

(c) $U \subset S^1 \times D^{n-3}$ be an open ball such that $U \cap h(U)$ is empty. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ be an open ball which contains $S^1 \times D^{n-3}$ and A be an open ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ containing $\bar{B}$.

(d) $g_t : \mathbb{R}^{n-2} \to \mathbb{R}^{n-2},\ t \in [0, 1]$ be a compactly supported isotopy with $g_0 = id$ and $g_1(\bar{A}) \subset U$. Set $g = g_1$.

(e) By 5.6 there exists $\mathcal{W} \subset V_1$ a C^∞-open neighborhood of id in $Diff_c^\infty(B)$, $\sigma_i : \mathcal{W} \to Diff_c^\infty(A),\ i = 1, ..., 6$ and compactly supported vector fields $X_i,\ i = 1, ..., 6$. We can assume that $supp(X_i) \subset A,\ i = 1, ..., 6$. We shall later refer how small $\mathcal{W}$ needs to be.

Remark 5.9. *Now observe that (γ, α) is fillable if and only if $(g \circ \gamma \circ g^{-1}, (g^{-1})^* \alpha)$ is fillable.*

As in [9] $g \circ \gamma \circ g^{-1}$ is the concatenation of of $g \circ \gamma_i \circ g^{-1},\ i = 1, ..., q$, where $\gamma_i(t) = \gamma(\frac{i+t}{q}) \circ \gamma(\frac{i}{q})^{-1}$. All of then have images in $\mathcal{W}$.

By 5.6 each $\gamma_i(1)$ is a product of the commutators $[\sigma_j(\gamma_i(1)), expX_j],\ j = 1, ..., 6$. As we know that the conjugate of the commutator is equal to the commutator of the conjugates, we get

$$g[\sigma_j(\gamma_i(1)), expX_j]g^{-1} = h \circ ((h^{-1}g\sigma_j(\gamma_i(1))g^{-1}h) \circ h^{-1} \circ (h^{-1}g\sigma_j(\gamma_i(1))^{-1}g^{-1}h))\circ$$

$$((g\ expX_jg^{-1}) \circ (h^{-1}g\sigma_j(\gamma_i(1))g^{-1}h) \circ h \circ (h^{-1}g\sigma_j(\gamma_i(1))^{-1}g^{-1}h) \circ (g\ expX_j^{-1}g^{-1}))\circ$$

$$((g\ expX_jg^{-1}) \circ h^{-1} \circ (g\ expX_j^{-1}g^{-1}))$$

Observe that it is a product of 12 diffeomorphisms and j runs from 1 to 6. So in total it is a product of 72 diffeomorphisms. So we choose $\mathcal{W}$ from item (e) above accordingly.

Also observe that in the above expression for $g[\sigma_j(\gamma_i(1)), expX_j]g^{-1}$ is a product of different conjugates of h and h^{-1}. So from 5.9 and 5.5 it is fillable.

References

[1] Bertelson, Mélanie. A h-principle for open relations invariant under foliated isotopies. J. Symplectic Geom. 1(2), 369425 (2002).

[2] Bertelson, Mélanie Foliations associated to regular Poisson structures. Commun. Contemp. Math. 3 (2001), no. 3, 441–456. (Reviewer: Edith Padrón)

[3] M.S. Borman, Y. Eliashberg and E. Murphy, Existence and classification of overtwisted contact structure in all dimensions, arXiv:1404.6157.

[4] Eliashberg, Y.; Mishachev, N. Introduction to the h-principle. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 48. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. xviii+206 pp. ISBN: 0-8218-3227-1 (Reviewer: John B. Etnyre)

[5] Y. Eliashberg and N.M. Mishachev, Wrinkling of smooth mappings and its applications I, Invent. Math. 130(1997), 349-369.

[6] Fernandes, Rui Loja; Frejlich, Pedro An h-principle for symplectic foliations. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2012, no. 7, 1505–1518. (Reviewer: David Iglesias Ponte)

[7] Andŕe Haefliger. Homotopy and integrability. In Manifolds–Amsterdam 1970 (Proc. Nuffic Summer School), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 197, pages 133–163. Springer, Berlin, 1971.

[8] S.Haller, T. Rybicki and J. Teichmann, Smooth perfectness for the group of diffeomorphisms, J.Geo. Mech. 5 (2013), 281-294.

[9] Y. Mitsumatsu; E. Vogt, Thurston's h-principle for 2-dimensional foliations of codimension greater than one.

[10] Sauvik Mukherjee. Poisson structures on closed manifolds. arxiv.

[11] T. Tsuboi, On the uniform simplicity of diffeomorphism groups, Differential-Proceedings of the Viii international colloquium, E. García-Rio (ed.), 43-55, World Sc. Publ., Singapore 2009.

[12] W. Thurston, The theory of foliations of codimension greater than one, Comm. Math. Helv. 49 (1974), 214-231.

Presidency University., e-mail:mukherjeesauvik@yahoo.com,